

## Support the PRIME Act (H.R. 3187)

**Processing Revival and Intrastate Meat Exemption** 

Introduced by U.S. Representatives Massie and Pingree

For more information, contact Pete Kennedy, Esq. call 703-208-3276 or email info@farmtoconsumer.org

bit.ly/PRIME-Act

House Resolution H.R. 3187, the Processing Revival and Intrastate Meat Exemption (PRIME) Act, would **give individual states the freedom to pass laws allowing the sale** of custom-slaughtered and -processed meat within their states. Passage of the PRIME Act would support small farmers who currently lack reasonable access to processing facilities, improve consumer access to locally raised meats, and help revitalize rural communities.

**Under current federal law, meat from a custom facility cannot be sold** (there are separate federal laws for poultry). Instead, it can only go to the individual or individuals who owned the animal at the time the slaughter took place. This means that the customers must buy the whole animal while it is still alive, effectively purchasing hundreds of pounds of meat without even knowing the final weight or the price per pound. This is not desirable or even feasible for most consumers.

In order to sell meat, even at a local farmers market or through a CSA, farmers must take the animals to an inspected slaughterhouse. Because of the **lack of inspected facilities** in many parts of the country, farmers often have to haul their animals several hours to reach a slaughterhouse that has an on-site inspector. This increases expenses for the farmer, drives prices up for consumers and creates stress on the animals.

Under current law, **states have no flexibility to set their own standards**. States may establish state inspection programs but must use the exact same standards as the federal program. In many cases, the costs are too high, for both the state and the prospective processor, to be feasible.

The PRIME Act would allow states to **legalize the sale of custom-processed meat direct to household customers** and to restaurants, hotels, grocery stores, and other establishments that directly serve consumers in a state. States would be able to set their own standards for the processors.

This approach mirrors the status before 1967, under which there were a federal meat inspection program and independent state programs. With the passage of the Wholesome Meat Act in 1967, **Congress took away the states' power to set their own standards for meat that was sold intra-state.** The new law resulted in significant damage to local slaughterhouse infrastructure around the country; a 1971 paper on the effects of the 1967 Act stated, "it could be argued that the Wholesome Meat Act was as much a disaster for many small meat firms as a hurricane..."

The situation is different now than in 1967—and the need for small-scale slaughterhouses is far greater. Since that year, the number of slaughterhouses has declined by more than 70% due to a combination of consolidation in the livestock industry and the regulatory expenses. There were nearly 10,000 in 1967. Today there are less than 3,000.

A 70% decline includes both federal and non-federal inspected slaughterhouses; added source #2.

Four companies now control processing of over 80% of the country's beef, and four companies control the processing of over 60% of the country's pork.<sup>4</sup> The consolidation of slaughterhouses has led to **most meat being processed at massive plants where as many as 400 cattle are slaughtered an hour.**<sup>5</sup> Mistakes that affect food safety and quality are all too likely when six or seven 1,000-lb animals are slaughtered every minute. Meat recalls have increased substantially in recent years, evidence that the current system is not working. Small-scale custom slaughterhouses, which handle a tiny fraction of the number of animals, can provide both greater quality control and humane treatment of the animals.

One of the federal requirements that has caused significant problems for small-scale processing is the requirement for a Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) plan. This burdensome **paperwork mandate has helped drive many small plants out of business** without providing any meaningful improvement in food safety for these facilities. Depending on the different types of processing they are doing, small plants can have multiple HACCP requirements. Passage of the PRIME Act would enable states to devise regulations for custom plants without having to include HACCP as a requirement.

The lack of slaughterhouse infrastructure is one of the biggest obstacles to a prosperous local food system. Farmers cannot meet the demand for locally produced beef because of the lack of access to inspected slaughterhouses. Passage of the PRIME Act can reduce the price of locally produced meat by allowing local farmers to use small, local plants that have regulations set by the state. The **PRIME Act is a first step toward rebuilding local processing infrastructure** which can revive rural economies and enable communities to become more self-sufficient in meat production.

## **RECOMMENDED READING**

Food & Water Watch (2009). Where's the Local Beef: Rebuilding Small-Scale Meat Processing Infrastructure. Referenced online at www.foodandwaterwatch.org/reports/wheres-the-local-beef/ [View PDF - http://bit.ly/1XyTldX]



## Please Support H.R. 3187 – The PRIME Act Processing Revival and Intrastate Meat Exemption

Introduced by U.S. Representatives Thomas Massie (R-KY) and Chellie Pingree (D-MA) on July 23, 2015 in support of America's small family farms

Online Posts, Petition & Updates: www.bit.ly/PRIME-Act
Contact Pete Kennedy, Esq. at 703-208-3276 or info@farmtoconsumer.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> United States. Small Business Administration, and United States. Congress. Senate. Committee on Small Business. The Effects of the Wholesome Meat Act of 1967 Upon Small Business: A Study of One Industry's Economic Problems Resulting from Environmental-Consumer Legislation. Washington: U.S. Govt. Print. Off., 1971, p. 32

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Denny, R.C.H. (2012). Between the Farm and the Farmer's Market: Slaughterhouses, Regulations, and Alternative Food Networks (Master's thesis). Retrieved from Auburn University AUETD database online at https://etd.auburn.edu/handle/10415/3247

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service. Livestock Slaughter 2014 Summary. April 2015. p. 62. Posted online at http://www.usda.gov/nass/PUBS/TODAYRPT/lsan0415.pdf [*View PDF - http://bit.ly/1i6sxS9*] 
<sup>4</sup> Heffernan, W. & Hendrickson, M. (2007). Concentration of agricultural markets. University of Missouri, Department of Rural Sociology. Posted online at http://www.foodcircles.missouri.edu/07contable.pdf [*View PDF - http://bit.ly/1JZuqGf*]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> GRACE Communications Foundation. Food Processing & Slaughterhouses. 2015. Quoting Schlosser, E. (2002). Fast Food Nation: The Dark Side of the All-American Meal. New York, NY: Houghton Mifflin Company. Posted online at http://www.sustainabletable.org/279/food-processing-slaughterhouses [View PDF - http://bit.ly/1K04Y7Y]